

Applicant : Wei-Zhong Li
Serial No. : 10/075,657
Filed : February 12, 2002
Page : 9 of 10

Attorney's Docket No.: 13854-006001

REMARKS

Claims 1-27 were pending, of which claims 1, 9, 20-22, and 25 were independent. Claims 22-27 are cancelled. Claims 28-30 are added. No new matter is added. Reconsideration of the action mailed February 28, 2006, is requested in light of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

The Examiner has allowed claims 1-21. Applicant appreciates the Examiner's identification of allowable subject matter in claims 1-21.

The Examiner rejected claims 22-27 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,594,068 to Sui (hereinafter "Sui").

Section 102 Rejections

The Examiner states that Sui discloses the features of claims 22-27. Applicant respectfully disagrees. However, in order to expedite prosecution, Applicant has cancelled claims 22-27.

New Claims

Claims 28-30 are added. Claim 28 is directed to an optical switch where the polarization beam splitter of the switching component group is coupled between the reflector and the singular polarization switch. Claim 28 depends from claim 9 and is therefore allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 9. Claim 29 depends from claim 28 and is directed to a singular polarization switch that includes a reflection mirror and a switch component. Applicant respectfully submits that claims 28- 29 are in condition for allowance. Claim 30 is directed to an optical switch where the polarization beam splitter of the switching component group is coupled between the reflector and the singular polarization switch. Claim 29 depends from allowed claim 21 and is therefore allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 21.

Applicant respectfully requests that all pending claims be allowed.

By responding in the foregoing remarks only to particular positions taken by the examiner, Applicant does not acquiesce with other positions that have not been explicitly

Applicant : Wei-Zhong Li
Serial No. : 10/075,657
Filed : February 12, 2002
Page : 10 of 10

Attorney's Docket No.: 13854-006001

addressed. In addition, Applicant's arguments for the patentability of a claim should not be understood as implying that no other reasons for the patentability of that claim exist.

Please apply any charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 27 April, 2006



Brian L. Gustafson
Reg. No. 52,978

Fish & Richardson P.C.
500 Arguello Street, Suite 500
Redwood City, California 94063
Telephone: (650) 839-5070
Facsimile: (650) 839-5071

50337546.doc